#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference GB040002	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 March 2005 (01.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 March 2004 (12.03.2004)	
nternational Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			

				·
1.			report on patentability (Chapter rity under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	r I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference			
	to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This repor	t contains indication	ns relating to the following item:	s:
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
		Box No. II	Priority	
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opir applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).			
	Date of issuance of this report 13 September 2006 (13.09.2006)			
	The International Bureau of WIPO  34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Authorized officer  Yolaine Cussac			
Facsin	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int		e-mail: pt11@wipo.int	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 2 SEP 2005

From the		
IN INTERNATIONIAL	SEARCHING AUTHOR	ידוו
INTERNATIONAL	PENDOLING VOLLIGI	

see form PCT/ISA/220

Applicant's or agent's file reference

see form PCT/ISA/220

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	_
То:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year)

International application No. 01.03.2005 PCT/EP2005/050896

12.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F9/50

**Applicant** 

2.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following iter	ns:
----	------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. I **Priority** ☐ Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII

 $\square$  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three submits from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Kielhöfer, P

**Authorized Officer** 

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3490



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896

_	Box N	
1.	the lan	egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ Th	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reneces	egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material:
	0	in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:
		<del></del>
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	4. Addi	itional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

1-18

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: "IBM WebSphere V4.0 Advanced Edition: Scalability and Availability (Partial)" (2002-05),

D2: US-A-5 349 656 (1994-09-20),

D3: "Maintaining affinity of message groups" (2003-06-13).

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for affinity management in a distributed computer system (e.g. session affinity, p.144), the method comprising: providing an identifier for each of a plurality of addressing entities (request of a session using e.g. SSL ID, p. 144, 4th paragraph and p.146f), [...]; [...] [...].

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in

- 1) that the identifier for each member of a group of addressing entities with an affinity is the same group identifier;
- 2) determining the number of service providers which are available to be addressed by an addressing entity to provide an instance of a service;
- 3) managing the distribution of addressing entities to service providers by the following method: applying a hash function to the identifier of an addressing entity to obtain a standard integer; dividing the standard integer by the number of service providers and obtaining the modulus; selecting a service provider by reference to the modulus; sending the addressing entity to the instance of the service provided by the selected service provider.

The subject-matter of claim 1 seems therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.1 The combination of the features of independent claim 1 seems to be neither known

PCT/EP2005/050896

from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art as presently known. The reasons are as follows:

While the first feature is obvious for the person skilled in the art when realizing session affinity, i.e. every request of one session sent to the same server, and also the second feature does not involve an inventive step when listing all available servers, the problem to be solved by the third feature can be defined as

how to select a server while guaranteeing affinity.

The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

the method of choosing a server as described in claim 1 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art as presently known.

- 2.2 Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.3 The same reasoning as in the previous sections applies to claims 9-17 and 18, which are also considered new (Article 33(2) PCT) and/or as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) with regard to the available prior art as presently known.